IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Appellee

: No. 15-4057 ν.

BRIAN DAVID HILL

Appellant:

EMERGENCY REQUEST THAT THE CLERK ACT ON MOTION

COMES NOW Brian Hill to file this EMERGENCY REQUEST with Cathi Bennett to file with the Clerk of the Court Patricia S. Connor, to request that the Clerk act on the Appellant's MOTION TO PROCEED PRO SE (See Doc 16 MOTION TO PROCEED PRO SE, filed February 24, 2015), pursuant to Local Rule 27(b), "Procedural Orders Acted on by Clerk; Reconsideration Thereof." The Appellant consents to the Clerk acting on Document 16 to order that the Appellant proceeds Pro Se upon agreement of the Appellee or in the event the request is unopposed by all parties. Appellee has already given good reasons why he should proceed Pro Se without Court Appointed Counsel. Already Document 15 titled MOTION TO STRIKE DOC 14 (See Doc 14 MOTION TO STRIKE DOC filed February 24, 2015) explains that counsel does not represent the Appellant's argument in response to the MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL (Doc 6 filed February 5, 2015).

Since the Clerk filed an ORDER for Mark A. Jones to represent Appellant on Appeal then the 04/06/2015 08:55PM 2766322599

clerk can also ORDER the removal of counsel and allow the Appellant to proceed on a Pro Se basis for this Appeal. The Appellant has faxed the REQUEST to the Appellee prior to faxing to The Court as an EMERGENCY REQUEST for asking approval or non-opposition to this request to allow the Clerk to Act on the MOTION TO PROCEED PRO SE (See Doc 16 MOTION TO PROCEED PRO SE, filed February 24, 2015).

Therefore, I request that the Clerk of the Court of the U.S. Court of Appeals Act on the MOTION TO PROCEED PRO SE.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian D. Hill (pro se)

916 Chalmers St. - Apt. D, Martinsville, VA 24112

Phone: (276)632-2599

04/06/2015 08:55PM 2766322599

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that service was made by facsimile of a true and correct copy of the foregoing EMERGENCY REQUEST THAT THE CLERK ACT ON MOTION by Fax Machine,

, 2015 addressed to:

Mr. Anand P. Ramaswamy

Assistant United States Attorney

101 South Edgeworth Street

Greenboro, NC 27401 Fax: (336) 333-5381

And to:

Mr. Mark A. Jones BELL, DAVIS & PITT, PA P. O. Box 21029 Suite 600 Winston-Salem, NC 27120-1029

Fax: (336) 714-4101

Note: Fax Transmission Ticket attached to this CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE as proof of service.

Brian D. Hill (pro se)

916 Chalmers St. - Apt. D Martinsville, VA

Phone: (276) 632-2599

04/07/2015 12:53AM 2766322599

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

Appellee

: No. 15-4057

BRIAN DAVID HILL

Appellant :

REASONS FOR FACSIMILE FILING OF EMERGENCY REQUEST THAT THE CLERK ACT ON MOTION

COMES NOW Brian Hill to state multiple valid reasons why the Facsimile of the EMERGENCY REQUEST THAT THE CLERK ACT ON MOTION is necessary and is compliant under Local Rule 25(b). "Use of Facsimile Equipment, Service, Certificate of Service. Citing from Rule 25(b),

"Documents may be transmitted for filing by use of facsimile transmission equipment only when an emergency situation exists and advance permission has been obtained to use the clerk's office facsimile equipment."

The reason this EMERGENCY REQUEST was not filed last month was that the Appellant assumed that the U.S. Court of Appeals would have acted on the Pro Se Motion filed by the Appellant in February, and for the first reason stated in Page 2. Since the Motion was not ruled on as of yet, Appellant has decided to Fax the EMERGENCY REQUEST THAT THE CLERK ACT ON MOTION and state multiple valid reasons as to why to he Believed he is compliant with the Local Court rules.

04/07/2015 12:53AM 2766322599

- 1. The Appellate counsel Mark A. Jones has been targeted by an anonymous threatening email in an attempt to derail the Appellant's Appeal, which is attached to this filing which states the reasons for such emergency request. Appellant had reported the threatening message via Fax to the Richmond, VA FBI field Office and waited over a month for the FBI to find the culprit. Since no culprit has been found, the Appellant has decided to file the EMERGENCY REQUEST since the threat has influenced Appellant counsel. The threatening email came to the attention of Appellant Counsel prior to his electronic filing of Doc 14 (RESPONSE TO GOVERNMENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL) which was filed on February 17, 2015 by Mark Jones without consent or discussion with Appellant and does not represent the Appellant's argument in response to the MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL (Doc 6 filed February 5, 2015).
- 2. The Appellate counsel Mark A. Jones has had prior ties with the U.S. District Court Chief Judge William L. Osteen Jr. and Sr. as a law clerk for both of those Judges prior to being appointed as counsel for the Appellant which carries a risk for a possible conflict of interest since the Appeal is of a Judgment by William L. Osteen Junior.
- 3. The Appellant is barred from using the Internet while under the sentence of Supervised Release, without the permission of his Probation Officer. Which means that the Appellant cannot electronically file through the ECF/CM system as a Pro Se filer.
- 4. The U.S. Postal Service takes beyond 3-4 days for a mailing to arrive at the U.S. Court of Appeals in Richmond, VA from the Appellant who lives in Martinsville, VA. The Appellant feels that under the current circumstances it was necessary to file the EMERGENCY REQUEST via facsimile.

Therefore, I state multiple valid reasons why I had to file the EMERGENCY REQUEST with the Clerk of the Court of the U.S. Court of Appeals using Facsimile equipment.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian D. Hill (pro se)

916 Chalmers St. - Apt. D, Martinsville, VA

Phone: (276) 632-2599